1		
2	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
	mmao@bsfllp.com	Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice)
3	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 293 6858	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606
5	Facsimile: (415) 999 9695	Telephone: (312) 705-7400
	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
6	William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice)	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
7	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
0	srabin@susmangodfrey.com	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
8	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
9	Telephone: (212) 336-8330	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
		Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
10	MORGAN & MORGAN	Iomaira A Crawford (admitted nua haa viaa)
11	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
1.2	Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice)	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
12	rmcgee@forthepeople.com 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor	New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
13	Tampa, FL 33602	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
14	Telephone: (813) 223-5505	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel	Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel
15	listed in signature blocks below	listed in signature blocks below
16		
1.7		
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
19	CHASOM BROWN, et al.,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
20		JOINT SUBMISSION IN RESPONSE TO
21	Plaintiffs,	DKT. 419 RE: SEALING PORTIONS OF
	v.	FEBRUARY 22, 2022 ORDER ON JOINT
22		DISCOVERY LETTER BRIEF (DKT. 418)
23	GOOGLE LLC,	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ
	Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SV

- 1					
1	February 28, 2022				
2	Submitted via ECF				
3 4 5	Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen San Jose Courthouse Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor 280 South 1st Street San Jose, CA 95113				
6 7	Re: Joint Submission in Response to Dkt. 419 re: Sealing Portions of February 22, 2022 Order on Joint Discovery Letter Brief (Dkt. 418) Brown v. Google LLC, Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK (N.D. Cal.)				
8	Dear Magistrate Judge van Keulen:				
9	Pursuant to Your Honor's February 22, 2022 Redaction Order re: sealing portions of the				
0	February 22, 2022 Order on Joint Discovery Letter Brief (Dkt. 418), Plaintiffs and Google LLC				
1	("Google") jointly submit this statement.				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24   25					
25   26					
26   27					
28					
.	1 Case No. 4:20-cy-03664_VGR_SVI				

Google respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of the February 22, 2022 Order on the Parties' joint discovery letter brief re Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notices (Dkt. 418) ("Order"), which contain Google's confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly, including details related to internal projects, identifiers, and cookies, and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. Google previously sought to seal most of the same information in Docket No. 410-4. This information is highly confidential and should be protected.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Order:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
February 22, 2022 Order	Portions highlighted in yellow at:	Google
	1:4, 1:8, 2:7, 3:15, 6:8-10, 6:13, 7:4, 7:7, 7:10, 7:12, 8:8, 15:5, 15;8, 15:10, 16:15, 17:4, 17:6,	
	21:11, 21:13-15, 22:3-4, 22:5, 22;7-9, 22:12, 23:3, 23:6, 23:13, 24:5, 25:10, 25:13, 25:15,	
	26:9- 10, 27:10-11, 27:13, 27:15, 31:14, 32:6, 32:13	

The parties conferred on the proposed redactions to the Order. Plaintiffs take no position and do not oppose sealing the proposed redactions.

## I. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or

 commercial information." France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc., 2014 WL 4965995, at \*4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

## II. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS EASILY MEET THE "GOOD CAUSE" STANDARD AND SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99. Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, 2014 WL 6986068, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 WL 984121, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Order comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to internal projects, identifiers, and cookies, and their proprietary functionalities. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is a proper basis to seal such information. *See, e.g., Free Range Content, Inc. v. Google Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain

sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and security of a different advertising system); *Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 3:16-cv-02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 446, at 19 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2019) (sealing confidential sales data because "disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have"); *Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co.*, 2013 WL 12116153, at \*8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publically available").

Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to compromise Google's internal systems and data structures. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cyber security threats, and data related to its users could similarly be at risk. *See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2013 WL 5366963, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted" because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information. The information Google seeks to redact, internal projects, identifiers, and cookies, and their proprietary functionalities, is the minimal amount of information needed to protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted.

## III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of the Order.

1		Respectfully,
2 3	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
4	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro	/s/ Mark C. Mao
5	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)
3	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	mmao@bsfllp.com Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No.
6	Chicago, IL 60606	262437)
7	Tel: (312) 705-7400	srodriguez@bsfllp.com
	Fax: (312) 705-7401	Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)
8	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	brichardson@bsfllp.com
9	sb@quinnemanuel.com Viole Trabiales (CA Par No. 260526)	44 Montgomery Street, 41 <sup>st</sup> Floor
	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 293 6858
10	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Fax: (415) 999 9695
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Inmos W. I an (nyo haa viga)
	Tel: (213) 443-3000 Fax: (213) 443-3100	James W. Lee ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jlee@bsfllp.com
12		Rossana Baeza (pro hac vice)
13	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	<u>rbaeza@bsfllp.com</u> 100 SE 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 2800
	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	Miami, FL 33130
14	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Tel: (305) 539-8400
15	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Fax: (305) 539-1304
	1 acsimic. (030) 801-3100	William Christopher Carmody (pro hac
16	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)	vice)
17	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)
	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor   New York, NY 10010	srabin@susmangodfrey.com
18	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice)
19	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	Alexander P. Frawley ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
20	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
21	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor New York, NY 10019
	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	Tel: (212) 336-8330
22	Washington D.C., 20005	
23	Tel: (202) 538-8000 Fax: (202) 538-8100	Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891) abonn@susmangodfrey.com
	1 ax. (202) 330-8100	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
24	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
25	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 789-3100
	San Francisco, CA 94111	1Cl. (310) 789-3100
26	Tel: (415) 875-6600	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)
27	Fax: (415) 875-6700	jyanchunis@forthepeople.com Pyan I McGee (pro hac vice)
	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC	Ryan J. McGee ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) rmcgee@forthepeople.com
28	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
		-5- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
- 1	IOINT SURMISSION	J IN RESPONSE TO DKT $419~\mathrm{RE}\cdot\mathrm{SFAI}$ ING PORTIONS OF

1	201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor
2	Tampa, FL 33602 Tel: (813) 223-5505 Fax: (813) 222-4736
3	
4	Michael F. Ram (CA Bar No. 104805)  mram@forthepeople.com MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
5	711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500
6	San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 358-6913
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-6- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVI

1	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE		
2	I am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Submission.		
3	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has		
4	concurred in the filing of this document.		
5			
6	Dated: February 28, 2021 By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro		
7	Andrew H. Schapiro  Counsel on behalf of Google LLC		
8 9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27   28			
20	_7_ Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVF		